Image Evaluation Process

2024/2025

Evaluating your images

Past and new

- Previous 100 point system- One outside judge/which image is better?
- New Four Tier System- Two inside judges/is the image successful?

The Four Tiers

No numerical scores

- **Needs Work-** There are significant compositional, technical or processing issues which require correction and are holding the image back
- Competent- Image is well composed, generally well processed but would benefit from greater impact, creativity, interest or originality
- Good- Image has significant interest and impact and is characterized by more sophisticated composition and processing
- Exceptional- Image stands out with regards to all criteria and is in top 10% of submitted images (typically 3-5 in a competition)

Why?

Just why?

- Competitions typically are comparing apples, oranges and pears with the occasional avocado thrown in.
- We like what we like and there is no rubric which obviates this
- With a single judge there are no guard rails and the first two bullets make it tough to be objective
- The 100 point system implied more precision than we were likely getting especially with one judge
- The new process moves to two judges and shifts emphasis from which image is better to whether the image is successful. There are no scores, only categories and comments.

The new projected image evaluation process

- Members will submit a single image as before, 1920X1080, sRGB, title and maker name
- No digital matting allowed, a single white stroke or no stroke
- B Category is eliminated
- Two experienced club judges evaluate submitted images on their own, then compare notes prior to the club meeting to reconcile discrepancies and agree on comments.
- Images will be placed in a category with associated comments from the judge and announced for each image during the competition meeting.
- Exceptional Images will be replayed at the end of the competition with opportunity for further discussion as time allows.

Evaluation Criteria

For makers and judges

- Is it evident what the maker was trying to do?
- Were they successful? Why or why not?
- Is the image interesting?
- Does it have impact?
- Is there evidence of originality and/or creativity?
- Does the composition work?
- Is the processing effective? Too much? Too little? Just Right (Goldilocks)?

Other Considerations

Makers and judges should think about these

- Mirror mirror on the wall- who doesn't love a pretty picture?
- What to focus on; the balance of complexity, focus and chaos
- Tack sharp- when is this important and when not?
- What's the story? Sometimes its evident, sometimes its subtle, sometimes there just isn't
- Great capture, exotic locations, etc. don't guarantee a great picture
- Colors and tonality- perceptions can be subjective
- Beware the cliche
- Seen it a hundred times
- Consideration of authenticity, editing, reimagining and fabrication